

Relative parabolicity of zero mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R}_1^3$

Isabel Fernández ^{*} Francisco J. López ^{*}

February 1, 2008

Abstract

If the Lorentzian norm on a maximal surface in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ is positive and proper, then the surface is relative parabolic. As a consequence, entire maximal graphs with a closed set of isolated singularities are relative parabolic.

Furthermore, maximal and minimal graphs over closed starlike domains in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$, respectively, are relative parabolic.

1 Introduction

A Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with non empty boundary is said to be relative parabolic if bounded harmonic functions are determined by their boundary values. This is equivalent to the existence of a positive proper superharmonic function on the surface. If $\partial(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset$, the surface is said to be parabolic if it does not carry any non constant positive superharmonic function. See [1] and [8] for a good setting.

The conformal type problem has strongly influenced the modern theory of minimal surfaces in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see for instance [14], [5], [4] and [13], among others).

In the Lorentzian ambient, Calabi [3] proved that complete maximal surfaces without singularities in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ are spacelike planes. However, there is a vast family of complete maximal surfaces with singularities. We emphasize the family of complete maxfaces of finite type (see [15]), all of them of parabolic type by Huber's theorem [10].

In this paper we have obtained some parabolicity criteria for maximal and minimal surfaces *with non empty boundary*. Our main result asserts:

Theorem *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ be a conformal maximal immersion. If the map $p \in \mathcal{M} \mapsto \langle X(p), X(p) \rangle$ is eventually positive¹ and proper, where $\langle, \rangle$ is the Lorentzian metric, then $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.*

In particular, properly immersed maximal surfaces contained in the conical region $\{(x, x_3) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^3 : |x_3| \leq \|x\| \tan(\alpha)\}$, with $\alpha \in]0, \pi/4[$, are relative parabolic.

^{*}Research partially supported by MCYT-FEDER grant number MTM2004-00160.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C50; Secondary 53A10, 53A30.

Key words and phrases: relative parabolicity, maximal surfaces, minimal surfaces.

¹That is, positive outside a compact set.

The preceding theorem holds even if the immersion is singular at boundary points. In particular, it applies for maximal graphs in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ over proper regions of $\{x_3 = 0\}$ with isolated singularities. In this context, relative parabolicity means that bounded harmonic functions are uniquely determined by their values at the boundary of the graph and the interior isolated singularities. To be more precise, we have obtained the following Corollary:

Corollary *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a maximal surface with a closed set of interior isolated singularities, and suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is a graph over a closed starlike region in the plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$.*

Then $\mathcal{S}$ is relative parabolic.

In particular, entire maximal graphs with a closed set of isolated singularities are relative parabolic.

A well-known standing conjecture by Meeks asserts that any minimal graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$ over a proper domain is relative parabolic. Maximal and minimal surfaces are naturally interlaced via the Weierstrass representation. We exploit this connection to obtain the following parabolicity criterium for minimal graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Corollary *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a minimal graph over a closed starlike region in the plane $\{x_3 = 0\}$.*

Then $\mathcal{S}$ is relative parabolic.

2 Notations and Preliminary results

The Euclidean metric and norm in $\mathbb{R}^3$ will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ and $\| \cdot \|_0$, respectively.

We denote by $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ the three dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $(\mathbb{R}^3, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 - dx_3^2$. The Lorentzian "norm" is given by $\|(x_1, x_2, x_3)\|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2$. We say that a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is spacelike, timelike or lightlike if $\|v\|^2 := \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$ is positive, negative or zero, respectively. The vector $\mathbf{0}$ is spacelike by definition. When v is spacelike, $\|v\|$ is chosen non negative. A plane in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ is spacelike, timelike or lightlike if the induced metric is Riemannian, non degenerate and indefinite or degenerate, respectively. We call $\mathcal{C}_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_1^3 : \|x\| = 0\}$ the *light cone* of $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ and denote by $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_1^3 : \|x\|^2 > 0\}$.

Throughout this paper, $\pi_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \{x_3 = 0\}$ will denote the (Lorentzian or Euclidean) orthogonal projection.

A smooth curve α in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ is said to be spacelike (resp., lightlike, timelike) if its tangent vector field is spacelike (resp., lightlike, timelike).

In what follows, $\mathcal{M}$ will denote a differential surface. We allow $\partial(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, in which case we assume $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$, where $\mathcal{M}'$ is an open surface, and $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ is the topological frontier $\text{Fr}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}'$. We also suppose that $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ consists of a proper (i.e., without accumulation) family of pairwise disjoint closed curves in $\mathcal{M}'$ at least piecewise $\mathcal{C}^1$, and some of them could be isolated points. By definition, $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} - \partial(\mathcal{M})$. A map $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ is said to be smooth if it is the restriction of a smooth map on $X' : \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$.

We say that a property holds *eventually* on a differentiable surface if it is valid outside a compact subset.

Definition 2.1 We say that a smooth map $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ is spacelike if the tangent plane at any interior point is spacelike, that is to say, the induced metric on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ is Riemannian. In this case, $\mathcal{S} = X(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be a spacelike surface in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$.

A point $p \in \partial(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be singular if the tangent plane $T_p\mathcal{M}$ with the induced metric is not Riemannian. A curve $\alpha \subseteq \partial(\mathcal{M})$ is singular if all its points are singular.

We call $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = -1\}$ the hyperbolic sphere in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ of constant intrinsic curvature -1 . Note that $\mathbb{H}^2$ has two connected components $\mathbb{H}_+^2 := \mathbb{H}^2 \cap \{x_3 \geq 1\}$ and $\mathbb{H}_-^2 := \mathbb{H}^2 \cap \{x_3 \leq -1\}$. The stereographic projection σ for $\mathbb{H}^2$ is defined as follows:

$$\sigma : \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} - \{|z| = 1\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2; \quad z \rightarrow \left(\frac{-2\text{Im}(z)}{1 - |z|^2}, \frac{2\text{Re}(z)}{1 - |z|^2}, \frac{|z|^2 + 1}{|z|^2 - 1} \right),$$

where $\sigma(\infty) = (0, 0, 1)$.

If $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ is a spacelike immersion, the locally well defined Gauss map N_0 of X assigns to each point of $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ a point of $\mathbb{H}^2$. A connection argument gives that N_0 is globally well defined and $N_0(\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}))$ lies, up to a Lorentzian isometry, in $\mathbb{H}_-^2$. This means that $\mathcal{M}$ is orientable.

A Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ is a complex manifold of dimension 1. As above, we allow that $\partial(\mathcal{R}) \neq \emptyset$, and in this case we always suppose that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}'$, where $\mathcal{R}'$ is an open Riemann surface, and $\partial(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{R}'$ consists of a proper family of pairwise disjoint $\mathcal{C}^0$ closed curves in $\mathcal{R}'$ (some of them could be isolated points). By definition, a function or 1-form on $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be harmonic (holomorphic,...) if it the restriction of a harmonic (holomorphic,...) function or 1-form on $\mathcal{R}'$. Likewise, we define the concept of conformal map $X : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$.

We need the following definition:

Definition 2.2 ([1]) A Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with non empty boundary is said to be relative parabolic if the only bounded harmonic function f vanishing on $\partial(\mathcal{R})$ is the constant function $f = 0$. This is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{R}$ admits a proper positive superharmonic function.

If $\partial(\mathcal{R}) = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be parabolic if positive superharmonic functions are constant.

Closed regions of parabolic or relative parabolic Riemann surfaces are relative parabolic [8],[1].

2.1 Maximal surfaces

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Riemann surface. A conformal map $X : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ is said to be a maximal immersion if X is spacelike and $X|_{\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})}$ has null mean curvature. In this case, $\mathcal{S} = X(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be a maximal surface in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$.

If $X : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ is maximal, the map $g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma^{-1} \circ N_0$ is meromorphic on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$. Moreover, there exists a holomorphic 1-form in $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$, such that

$$\phi_1 = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{1}{g} - g \right) \phi_3, \quad \phi_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{g} + g \right) \phi_3 \quad (1)$$

are holomorphic on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$, $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ never vanishes on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$. We suppose that (g, ϕ_3) are meromorphic data on $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e., they extend meromorphically beyond $\partial(\mathcal{M})$).

Up to a translation, the immersion is given by $X = \operatorname{Re} \int_{P_0} (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$, $P_0 \in \mathcal{M}$.

The induced Riemannian metric ds^2 on $\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{M})$ is given by $ds^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 - |\phi_3|^2 = \left(\frac{|\phi_3|}{2} \left(\frac{1}{|g|} - |g|\right)\right)^2$. Since X is spacelike, then $|g| \neq 1$ on $\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{M})$, and up to a Lorentzian isometry, we always assume $|g| < 1$.

We call $(\mathcal{M}, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$ (or simply $(\mathcal{M}, g, \phi_3)$) the Weierstrass representation of X . For more details see, for instance, [12].

Remark 2.1 *The transformation $(\mathcal{M}, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \phi_1, \phi_2, i\phi_3)$ converts Weierstrass data of maximal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$ into Weierstrass data of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and vice versa. Moreover, the composition of the Gauss map of each surface with the corresponding stereographic projection leads to the same meromorphic map g . For more details about minimal surfaces, see [14].*

Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ be a conformal maximal immersion. Isolated points and loops in $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ could determine two kinds of isolated singularities in the surface $X(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathbb{R}_1^3$: *branch* points and *lightlike* singularities. By definition, a branch point of $X(\mathcal{M})$ is the image under X of an isolated singular point $p_0 \in \partial(\mathcal{M})$. An isolated lightlike singularity in $X(\mathcal{M})$ correspond to a singular loop $\gamma \subseteq \partial(\mathcal{M})$ whose image $X(\gamma)$ is a single point. Obviously, not all singular loops must determine isolated singularities.

From the conformal point of view, a tubular open neighborhood in $\mathcal{M}$ of a singular loop γ determining a lightlike singularity is biholomorphic to $\{0 < r < |z| \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ (where $\gamma \equiv \{|z| = 1\}$), while the one of a branch point p_0 is conformally equivalent to the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ ($p_0 \equiv 0$). Moreover, if $p_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ is a branch point, the Weierstrass data extend analitically to p_0 and satisfy $|g(p_0)| < 1$, $\phi_3(p_0) = 0$. If γ is a singular loop determining a lightlike singularity, ϕ_3 and g also extend analytically to γ , and in this case $|g(p)| = 1$, $p \in \gamma$.

If $p_0 \in \partial(\mathcal{M})$ is a branch point, the map $X \circ \pi_0 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{x_3 = 0\}$ presents a topological branch point at p_0 , and in particular X is not an embedding locally around p_0 . The geometry of isolated lightlike singularities in $X(\mathcal{M})$ is also well known. Indeed, consider the quotient surface $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ obtained by identifying all the points of the singular curve γ with a single point $\hat{\gamma}$ and induce $\hat{X} : \hat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ in the natural way. Then $\hat{X} \circ \pi_0 : \hat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \{x_3 = 0\}$ is locally around $\hat{\gamma}$ either an embedding or a branched covering with branch point $\hat{\gamma}$. In the first case, $\hat{X}$ is asymptotic to a half lightcone with vertex at $\hat{\gamma}$, and the point $\hat{X}(\hat{\gamma}) = X(\gamma)$ is called a *conelike singularity*. For more details we refer to the works [12], [2], [11] or [6], among others.

If we label $\partial(\mathcal{M})_0$ as the union of brach points and singular loops in $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ determining isolated singularities of $X(\mathcal{M})$, we define

$$\operatorname{Int}(X(\mathcal{M})) := X(\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{M}) \cup \partial(\mathcal{M})_0),$$

and observe that $\operatorname{Int}(X(\mathcal{M}))$ is a *branched* surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Note that $X(\operatorname{Int}(\mathcal{M})) \subset \operatorname{Int}(X(\mathcal{M}))$, but they could not coincide.

3 Parabolicity of maximal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}_1^3$

We are going to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ be a conformal maximal immersion, where $\partial(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, and suppose that the Lorentzian norm*

$$n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n(p) = \|X(p)\|^2$$

is eventually positive and proper.

Then $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.

Proof: Consider the compact set $K = \{p \in \mathcal{M} : \|X(p)\|^2 \leq 2\}$, and note that $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic if and only if $\mathcal{M} - \text{Int}(K)$ is relative parabolic (see [1], [8] for details). Therefore, it suffices to check that the proper positive function $h : \mathcal{M} - \text{Int}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$h(p) = \log(\|X(p)\|^2)$$

is superharmonic. Take an isothermal parameter $z = u + iv$ on $\mathcal{M}$. The corresponding conformal parameterization $X(u, v)$ satisfies $\langle X_u, X_v \rangle = 0$ and $\langle X_u, X_u \rangle = \langle X_v, X_v \rangle = \lambda^2$. Furthermore, from the maximality, the map X is harmonic, and so:

$$\Delta h := h_{uu} + h_{vv} = -4 \left(\frac{\langle X, X_u \rangle^2 + \langle X, X_v \rangle^2}{\langle X, X \rangle^2} - \frac{\lambda^2}{\langle X, X \rangle} \right)$$

Since $\{X_u, X_v\}$ is an isothermal basis of a spacelike plane, we get

$$X = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (\langle X, X_u \rangle X_u + \langle X, X_v \rangle X_v) - \langle X, N_0 \rangle N_0,$$

where N_0 is the normal vector. Hence, $\langle X, X \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (\langle X, X_u \rangle^2 + \langle X, X_v \rangle^2) - \langle X, N_0 \rangle^2$, which proves that $\Delta h = -4\lambda^2 \frac{\langle X, N_0 \rangle^2}{\langle X, X \rangle^2} \leq 0$ and concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.1 *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ be a proper conformal maximal immersion, where $\partial(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, and suppose that $X(\mathcal{M})$ eventually lies in the conical region $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(x, x_3) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^3 : |x_3| \leq \|x\| \tan(\alpha)\}$, where $\alpha \in]0, \pi/4[$.*

Then $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.

Proof: As $X(\mathcal{M})$ is eventually contained in $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$, then we have $n(p) \geq \|\pi_0(X(p))\|_0^2 (1 - \tan(\alpha))$. Since $\alpha \in]0, \pi/4[$ and X is proper the Lorentzian norm $n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is eventually positive and proper. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$, $\partial(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, be a conformal proper maximal immersion² and suppose that $\mathcal{S} := X(\mathcal{M})$ is a graph over a closed starlike region $\Omega \subset \{x_3 = 0\} \equiv \mathbb{C}$ centered at $(\pi_0 \circ X)(p_0)$, where $p_0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.

The proof of this theorem is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.1 and the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ be a proper spacelike immersion, and suppose that $\mathcal{S} := X(\mathcal{M})$ is a graph over a closed starlike region $\Omega \subset \{x_3 = 0\} \equiv \mathbb{C}$ centered at the origin $0 \in \Omega \cap X(\text{Int}(\mathcal{M}))$.*

The following statements hold:

²Recall that $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ could contain singular points.

(i) $\mathcal{S} - \{0\}$ is contained in $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_0)$.

(ii) If we write $\mathcal{S} = \{(z, u(z)) : z \in \Omega\}$ and take $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then the function $f_\theta(t) := \text{dist}((te^{i\theta}, u(te^{i\theta})), \mathcal{C}_0)$ is positive and non decreasing in $]0, t_\theta[$, where $t_\theta := \text{Sup}\{t \in \mathbb{R} : te^{i\theta} \in \Omega\} \in]0, +\infty]$ (here dist means Euclidean distance).

(iii) The Lorentzian norm $n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n(p) = \|X(p)\|^2$ is non negative and proper.

Proof: For each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, we label Π_θ as the half plane in $\mathbb{R}_1^3 \equiv \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $\{(\lambda e^{i\theta}, \mu) : \lambda \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then,

$$\text{Int}(\mathcal{S}) \cap \Pi_\theta = \{\rho_\theta(t) := (te^{i\theta}, u_\theta(t)) : t \in [0, t_\theta[), \quad \text{where } u_\theta(t) := u(te^{i\theta})$$

Since X is spacelike, it is not hard to see that u_θ belongs to the Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{1,\infty}(I)$, for any compact subinterval $I \subset [0, t_\theta[$. Furthermore, $|u'_\theta(t)| \leq 1$ on $[0, t_\theta[$ and $|u'_\theta(t)| < 1$ on regular (spacelike) points $\rho_\theta(t)$.

Integrating from $t = 0$, we get that $|u_\theta(t)| \leq t$ and so $\mathcal{S} \cap \Pi_\theta$ is contained in $\overline{\text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_0)}$. Since 0 is a regular point, then $|u'_\theta(0)| < 1$, and so, $|u_\theta(t)| < t$, $t > 0$. This obviously implies that $(\mathcal{S} - \{0\}) \cap \Pi_\theta \subset \text{Ext}(\mathcal{C}_0)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, and proves (i).

For (ii), notice that

$$f_\theta(t) = \text{dist}(\rho_\theta(t), \mathcal{C}_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Min}\{|t - u_\theta(t)|, |t + u_\theta(t)|\}, \quad t \in [0, t_\theta[.$$

Since $\|\rho_\theta(t)\| > 0$ and $\|\rho'_\theta(t)\| \geq 0$, $t \in]0, t_\theta[$, it is easy to check that $f_\theta(t)$ is positive and non decreasing in $]0, t_\theta[$.

To see (iii), observe that the Lorentzian spheres $\mathbb{H}_s := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_1^3 : \|x\| = s\}$, $s > 0$, are asymptotic to the light cone $\mathcal{C}_0$ in the following Euclidean sense: $\lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} (r_{k,s} - r_k) = 0$, where $r_{k,s} := \sqrt{k^2 + s^2}$ and $r_k := |k|$ are the radii of the concentric Euclidean circles $\mathbb{H}_s \cap \{x_3 = k\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \{x_3 = k\}$ respectively.

Then it suffices to check that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(X(p), \mathcal{C}_0) \geq \epsilon$ eventually in $\mathcal{M}$.

Indeed, take $0 < \delta < \text{dist}(0, \partial(\Omega))$ and observe that for $t > \delta$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$,

$$f_\theta(t) \geq f_\theta(\delta) \geq \epsilon := \text{Min}\{f_\theta(\delta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\} > 0$$

which proves (iii). □

Corollary 3.2 (Calabi's Theorem [3]) *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ a complete conformal maximal immersion, where $\partial(\mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$.*

Then $X(\mathcal{M})$ is a spacelike plane. The result remains valid if we substitute the hypothesis of completeness for the one of properness.

Proof: It is well known that complete (or proper) spacelike surfaces without boundary are graphs over $\{x_3 = 0\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $0 \in X(\mathcal{M})$ and let $D \subset \{x_3 = 0\}$ denote an open disc centered at the origin. By Lemma 3.1, the Lorentzian norm is non negative and proper on $\mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 3.1, the Riemann surface with boundary $\mathcal{M}_0 := \mathcal{M} - (\pi_0 \circ X)^{-1}(D)$ is relative parabolic, and since $\partial(\mathcal{M}_0)$ is compact, $\mathcal{M}$ is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{C}$. As the stereographic projection g of the Gauss map of X is a bounded holomorphic function (recall that we can suppose $|g| < 1$), then we infer that g is constant. The corollary follows immediately. □

Corollary 3.3 *Let $X : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_1^3$ a proper conformal maximal immersion, and suppose $\partial(\mathcal{M})$ consists of a countable union of pairwise disjoint loops determining conelike singularities. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.*

Proof: Since all the singularities of $X(\mathcal{M})$ are of conelike type and the immersion X is proper, $X(\mathcal{M})$ is an entire graph over any spacelike plane (see [7]). By Theorem 3.2 $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic. $\square$

The surface $\mathcal{M}$ in the previous Corollary can be biholomorphic to either $\mathbb{C} - \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ or $\mathbb{D} - \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$, where $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of open discs with pairwise disjoint closures (see [9]). However, if in addition $X(\mathcal{M})$ is invariant under a non trivial discrete group G of ambient isometries acting properly and freely on $\mathbb{R}_1^3$, and the quotient surface $\mathcal{M}/G$ has a finite number of boundary components, it is possible to see that in fact $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathbb{C} - \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ (see [7]).

3.1 Some consequences for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$

The preceding results can be applied to obtain some parabolicity criteria for minimal surfaces.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $Y \equiv (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a conformal minimal immersion, where $\partial(\mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$, and suppose that $\pi_0 \circ Y : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{x_3 = 0\}$ is proper. Let $N \equiv (N_1, N_2, N_3) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ denote the Gauss map of Y , and assume that the real harmonic 1-form $\psi := N_2 dY_1 - N_1 dY_2$ is exact.*

If there are $p_0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$| \int_{p_0}^p \psi + C | \leq \|(\pi_0 \circ Y)(p)\|_0 - \epsilon, \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{M},$$

then $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.

Proof: It is easy to check that $\psi = dX_3$, where X_3 denotes the harmonic conjugate of Y_3 .

Up to a translation, we can suppose that $X_3(p) = \int_{p_0}^p \psi + C$, and so $|X_3(p)| \leq \|(\pi_0 \circ Y)(p)\|_0 - \epsilon$. Then, it is not hard to check that the maximal immersion given by $X := (Y_1, Y_2, X_3)$ (see Remark 2.1) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.5 *Let $Y \equiv (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a conformal proper minimal immersion, and suppose that $\mathcal{S} := Y(\mathcal{M})$ is a graph over a closed starlike region $\Omega \subset \{x_3 = 0\} \equiv \mathbb{C}$ centered at $(\pi_0 \circ Y)(p_0)$, where $p_0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{M})$.*

Then, $\mathcal{M}$ is relative parabolic.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{M}$ is simply connected, the harmonic conjugate X_3 of Y_3 is well defined. Moreover, the maximal immersion $X := (Y_1, Y_2, X_3)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2. The corollary follows immediately. $\square$

References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Sario: *Riemann surfaces*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
- [2] K. Ecker.: *Area maximizing hypersurfaces in Minkowski space having an isolated singularity*. Manuscripta Math., Vol. 56(1986), 375-397.
- [3] E. Calabi: *Examples of the Bernstein problem for some nonlinear equations*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 15, (1970), 223-230.
- [4] P. Collin, R. Kusner, W.H. Meeks III, and H. Rosenberg: *The topology, geometry and conformal structure of properly embedded minimal surfaces*. Preprint.
- [5] Yi Fang and Jenn-Fang Hwang: *When is a minimal surface a minimal graph?* Pacific J. M., Vol. 207, no. 2, (2002), 359-376.
- [6] I. Fernández, F. J. López and R. Souam: *The space of complete embedded maximal surfaces with isolated singularities in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space $\mathbb{L}^3$* . Preprint. ArXiv e-print archive math.DG/0311330 v1.
- [7] I. Fernández and F. J. López: *Periodic Maximal surfaces*. Preprint.
- [8] A. Grigor'yan: *Analytic and geometric background of recurrence and non-explosion of the Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc 36 (1999), 135-249.
- [9] Z.-X. He and O. Schramm: *Fixed points, Koebe uniformization and circle packings*. Ann. of Math. (2) 137 (1993), no. 2, 369-406.
- [10] A. Huber.: *On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large*. Comment. Math. Helv., 32, (1957), 13-72.
- [11] V. A. Klyachin and V.M. Miklyukov.: *Geometric structures of tubes and bands of zero mean curvature in Minkowski space*. Annales Academia Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica, 28 (2003) 239-270
- [12] O. Kobayashi: *Maximal surfaces with conelike singularities*. J. Math. Soc. Japan 36 (1984), no. 4, 609-617.
- [13] F. J. López and J. Pérez: *Parabolicity and Gauss map of minimal surfaces*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 52 (2003), no. 4, 1017-1026.
- [14] R. Osserman: *A survey of minimal surfaces*. Dover Publications, New York, second edition, 1986.
- [15] M. Umehara and K. Yamada: *Maximal surfaces with singularities in Minkowski space*. Preprint.

ISABEL FERNANDEZ, FRANCISCO J. LOPEZ,

Departamento de Geometría y Topología

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada

18071 - GRANADA (SPAIN)

e-mail:(first author) isafer@ugr.es, (second author) fjlopez@ugr.es